



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,736	08/20/2003	Michael Peterson	LSI.77US01 (03-1088)	9152
24319	7590	03/22/2006	EXAMINER	
LSI LOGIC CORPORATION 1621 BARBER LANE MS: D-106 MILPITAS, CA 95035			VAUGHN, GREGORY J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/645,736		PETERSON, MICHAEL	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Gregory J. Vaughn		2178	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 August 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Action Background

1. This action is responsive to the application filing, application filed on 8/20/2003.
2. Claims 1-21 are pending in the case, claims 1, 7, 13 and 21 are independent claims.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because it contains the following embedded hyperlinks and/or other form of browser-executable code:

- "<http://www.server.com/parser.php?file=definition.php>" on page 4, line 28

Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

"A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States."

Art Unit: 2178

5. Claims 1-3, 5-14 and 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tittel et al., "XML for Dummies", Copyright 2000 IDG Books Worldwide (hereinafter Tittel).
6. **Regarding independent claim 1**, the Tittel reference is a training manual for writing extensible markup-language (XML) documents for use in computers and on the Internet. XML documents can generally be referred to as web pages (page 1, second paragraph). It is well known that XML (and other markup languages) can be used to exchange data on the Internet. Data is exchanged based upon a request from a client, the request is processed by a server, and a resultant web page is transmitted back to the requestor (pages 12-14). Tittel discloses parsing a predefined definition file with a parser, said predefined definition file comprising at least one reference to a first predefined template file and at least one content file, where the template file contains formatting information for the web page. Tittel discloses the use of document type definition (DTD) files on pages 61-63. Tittel discloses the use of style sheets that control formatting of the web page on pages 141-145. Tittel discloses the DTD file referencing both a template and a content file on page 189.
7. **Regarding dependent claim 2**, Tittel discloses the DTD file referencing multiple template files, where the first template file is selected on pages 145-149. Tittel refers to these types of template files as cascading style sheets (CSS).

Art Unit: 2178

8. **Regarding dependent claim 3**, Tittel discloses the template file comprising page layout information on page 145. Tittel recites: *"With CSS1, you can control the format and display of colors and backgrounds, fonts and text, spacing, element positioning and size"*
9. **Regarding dependent claim 5**, Tittel disclose the use of variables on pages 340-341.
10. **Regarding dependent claim 6**, Tittel discloses the use of pointers on pages 241-245
11. **Regarding independent claims 7, 13 and 17**, the claims are directed toward a method or system of claim 1 and are rejected using the same rationale.
12. **Regarding dependent claims 8, 9, 16, 18 and 19**, the claims are directed toward a method and system for the method of claim 5, and are rejected using the same rational.
13. **Regarding dependent claims 10 and 20**, Tittel discloses a variable that specifies a language preference (described as character sets) on pages 132-135.
14. **Regarding dependent claims 11 and 21**, Tittel discloses a variable that specifies a descriptor of the client computer system (described as a namespace) on pages 210-211.

Art Unit: 2178

15. **Regarding dependent claim 12**, the claim is directed toward a system for the method of claim 6 and is rejected using the same rationale.
16. **Regarding dependent claim 14**, Tittel discloses layout information as described above in the rejection of claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

"(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made."

18. Claims 4 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tittel in view of Hsu et al. US Patent Publication 2004/0010710, filed 7/10/2002, published 1/15/2004 (hereinafter Hsu).
19. **Regarding dependent claims 4 and 15**, Tittel discloses serving web pages, as described above. Tittel discloses exchanging information, but fails to disclose determining if a client is authorized to view the content. Hsu teaches determining if a user is authorized to view content in figure 3 at reference sign 301 (shown as "Whether the URL is denied").

Therefore, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill, at the time the invention was made to use the authorization control taught by Hsu with the web page serving system of Tittel in order to provide *"a security system and method, used to control and filter requests according to an individuals user's authority"* (Hsu, paragraph 10).

Conclusion

20. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

<u>Patent/Publication</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Inventor</u>
• US-6,964,012 B1	11-2005	Zirngibl et al.
• US-6,973,477 B1	12-2005	Martino, Rocco L.
• US-6,976,090 B2	12-2005	Ben-Shaul et al.
• US-6,985,953 B1	01-2006	Sandhu et al.
• US-7,000,107 B2	02-2006	Hewett et al.
• US-7,007,034 B1	02-2006	Hartman et al.
• US-7,010,600 B1	03-2006	Prasad et al.
• US-2004/0010710 A1	01-2004	Hsu et al.
• US-2003/0005410 A1	01-2003	HARLESS, GARY L.

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Vaughn whose telephone number is (571) 272-4131. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen S. Hong can be reached at (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-2100.

Art Unit: 2178

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory J. Vaughn
March 17, 2006

William L. Bashore
WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
3/17/2006